5th TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING

5th Technical Committee Meeting for scrutiny and appraisal of the DPR of Cluster 1 and 2 of Idukki and Wayanad Districts Submitted by PMU

Meeting No. 05 120: 11.00 am

Date - 18th February, 2020; 11.00 am

Venue: Conference Hall, Office of the Chief Engineer, LSGD, Public Office Compound, Revenue Complex, Thiruvananthapuram

AGENDA

 5^{th} Technical Committee Meeting for scrutiny and appraisal of the DPR of Cluster 1 and 2 of Idukki and Wayanad Districts Submitted by PMU

PRESENT

	<u>PRESENT</u>			
S. No	Name	Designation and Office Address	Signature	
1 -	RAJAN.M.V.	CE, LSAD	Lynn	
2	Dr. B. G. Sreederi	Chief Sciential, NATPAC	- Brits	
3	JITHU RAJ	AE, PMU RKI		
4	Ineela - S	SE CE / KSRRDA	Sun S	
5	JayaV	Porf, CET	Gayal	
6	Dr. Ashalotto R	Proj. CET	211-11	
7	Wishnerkumm. 9	Projet Kruhi	1	
8	Ajitu Kumar. G.S	() EE	Klom.>	
9				
10				
11	e 17		3	
12				
13			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Sl.No.	Description	Action	
	The Chief Engineer, LSGD informed that PD, PMU has submitted the draft		
1.1	RFP/RFQ of Clusters 1 and 2 of Wayanad District and Cluster 1 of Idukki	-	
	District for approval		
	PROGRESS		
	PD, PMU explained the progress of the works so far undertaken by PMU,	,	
2.1	regarding the 1st tender and thereafter the re-tender of the RFP/RFQs initially		
	approved by the Technical Committee		
	DISCUSSIONS		
2.1	The technical committee reviewed the minutes of the previous committee and		
3.1	approved the same		
	PD, PMU informed that CBR details of Cluster II has not been	t been	
	stained until this date, hence, the same is not presented for approval.		
	CBR details of Cluster 1 and 2 of Wayanad District have been		
3.2	obtained and Cluster 1 of Idukki has been obtained.		
	PD, PMU also informed that since, the basic RFP/RFQ document had		
	already been approved the basic changes will be reflected in the Works list,		
	Financial Outlay and Time Schedule of Tender alone		
	Technical Committee observed that CBR value is less in most of the roads in		
3.3	the Selected Districts.		
3.3	Technical Committee opined that care should be taken while execution of the		
	work in such roads		
	Technical committee observed that there are some clerical mistakes,		
	namely, length in A.S document and that shown in draft RFP/RFQ document,		
	in the RFP/RFQ document of Cluster 2 of Wayanad District and Cluster 1 of		
3.4	Idukki District.		
3.4	Technical committee instructed PMU to correct such errors.	of PMU	
	Technical committee also observed that the date of Opening of		
	financial bid is shown incorrect when compared with other dates as in the		
	Time schedule of Tender and instructed PMU to rectify the same		
	DECISIONS		
<i>i</i> 1	Technical approved the draft RFP/RFQ of Cluster 1 and 2 of Wayanad		
4.1	District and Cluster 1 of Idukki		
	NEXT MEETING	The state of the s	
5.1	Next meeting will be informed in advance	<i>N</i>	

Chief Engineer