## 20<sup>th</sup> TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING

20<sup>th</sup> Technical Committee meeting and for the scrutiny and appraisal of the project reports prepared by the consultant and PMU.

Meeting No. 20

**Date** – 14<sup>th</sup> May 2021,10.30 am

Venue: Online (Google meet)

## **AGENDA**

1. Scrutiny and Appraisal of Project Reports prepared by PMU and Consultants

**PRESENT** 

S. No	Name	Designation and Office Address	Signature
1	Sri Johnson	Chief Engineer, LSGD	
2	Dr. B.G.Sreedevi	Former Director, NATPAC	
3	Dr Neethu Roy	Professor, Mar Baselios College of Engineering and Technology	
4	Dr. Vishnu R	Assistant Professor, NIT, Waranagal	
5	Dr. Nivin Philip	Professor, Saint Gits College of Engineering	
6	Dr Jaya V	Professor CET	
7	Sri Vishnukumar G	Project Director, PMU RKI LSGD	
8	Sri Sajish R	Executive Engineer, PIU RKI LSGD	
9	Sri Shiju Chandran	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	
10	Shainy N	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	
11	Sathyanath B	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	
12	Jiju V	Assistant Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	
13	Binod S	Assistant Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	
14	Jithu Raj	Assistant Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD	

15	Binil Gopinath	Assistant Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD
16	Sharavaneswar	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD
17	Rasheed	Assistant Engineer, PMU RKI LSGD

Sl.No.	Descr	ription	Action
51.110.	The Chief Engineer, LSGD informed that the proposed		
1.1	meeting is for Scrutiny and approval of project reports (5		<del>-</del>
	nos) prepared by consultant		
		ISSIONS	
	PD. PMU and the Engineers	of PMU RKI LSGD explained	
	the Nature of work, components of work included in the		
2.1	estimate. The details of the	work proposed is listed in the	
	table below		
SI No	Name of Work	Features	
	D	PR Presentation by Consultan	ts
		Length of Road (in kms)	5.370
		Reconstruction or	Rehabilitation
		Rehabilitation Suggested	
		Nature of Pavement Suggested	flexible
		Existing portion 0+000 to 5+370	40mm BC+75mm WMM
	V1-W17 44 th mile makkimala Road wayanad	Widening Portion 0+000 to 5+370 km	300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75mr WMM+40mm BC
1			Ch @
			2+203,2+412,2+992,3+150,3
		Additional Features or	302,4+082,4+905-culvert
		Structures provided	retained, 1+498,4+628- slat
			culvert reconstruction
		Total Cost (in lakhs)	278.1
		Per km Cost of Pavement (in	51.9
		lakhs)	
	COD vetorial in	used for pavement after 2km, Co	ommittee suggested to ensure

In DPR new GSB material is used for pavement after 2km, Committee suggested to ensure the effect to recycle of existing GSB after 2 km. Also suggested to check the gradation given in the

		Length of Road (in kms)	A-2.07 km B-1.38 km
	V1-W19 Kattimoola- Aroola	Reconstruction or Rehabilitation Suggested	Reconstruction
		Nature of Pavement Suggested	flexible
		A- Ch 0+000 to 2+085	300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75mm WMM+40mm BC
2		B- Ch 0+000 to 1+395	300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75mm WMM+40mm BC
	kolathada Road wayanad	Additional Features or Structures provided	0+500,0+658,0+882,3+039,3+ 119,3+494-pipe culvert retained, 1+120,1+305,1+582,1+853,2+ 477,2+849 - slab culvert retained
		Total Cost (in lakhs)	290
		Per km Cost of Pavement (in	84
		lakhs)	f doing payed shoulder.
	Technical Committee suggested	to do stabilized shoulder instead o  Length of Road (in kms)	A- 2.22 km B-2.175 km
		Reconstruction or Rehabilitation Suggested	Reconstruction
	V1-W20 Orappu- Evanarikulam- kattimoola Road	Nature of Pavement Suggested	flexible
3		0+000 to 2+205	300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75m WMM+40mm BC
		0+000 to 2+175	300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75m WMM+40mm BC
		Additional Features or Structures provided	A-0+057, 0+584- slab culver retained, 0+726- pipe culver retained B- 0+885,1+845 slab culvert retained, 1+440 pipe
			culvert retained.

	1	Per km Cost of Pavement (in	82.6	
		lakhs)	02.0	
	T. 1 1 10 10 10	I to do stabilized shoulder instead of	of doing paved shoulder.	
	Technical Committee suggested	to do stabilized shoulder instead	3.810 km	
		Length of Road (in kms)  Reconstruction or	4:	
		Reconstruction of Rehabilitation Suggested	Reconstruction	
		Nature of Pavement Suggested	Flexible & Rigid	
		Nature of Favement Suggested	100mm GSB+100mm	
		0+00 to 1+200 flexible	WMM650mm DBM+30mm	
		pavement	ВС	
4		1+200 to 2+500 Rigid	180mm PCC M30 + 150mm	
		pavement	CTSB	
4	V1-W21 Korome-Karimbil	P	200mm GSB on 300mm	
7	Road		stabilised subgrade+ 100mm	
		2+500 to 3+810	WMM+50mm DBM+30mm	
			BC	
		17	15 culverts are there 5 culverts	
		Additional Features or	retained and 10 culverts for	
		Structures provided	reconstn	
		Total Cost (in lakhs)	1120	
		Per km Cost of Pavement (in	289.2	
	,	lakhs)		
	Technical committee insisted P	MU to recheck the proposal wheth	her three types section is needed	
	for the proposed road. Committee suggested to adopt DBM & BC for whole length road. Instead of providing earthen shoulder in rigid pavement portion better to adopt rigid shoulder according to			
	of providing earthen shoulder in	rigid pavement portion better to a	adopt rigid shoulder according to	
	site condition.		1.935	
*	•	Length of Road (in kms)	Reconstruction &	
		Reconstruction or	Rehabilitation	
		Rehabilitation Suggested	Flexible	
	VI WOO Kallikandam-	Nature of Pavement Suggested	300mm stabilized	
		Existing portion 0+960 to	subgrade+100mm GSB+75mn	
5	V1-W22 Kollikandam- Kunneri-Neelam Road	1+935	WMM+ 40mm BC	
3	Kunneri-Neelam Road			
3	Kumen-Acciant Road		200mm stabilised	
3	Kumen-Neciam Road	Widening Portion 0 to 0+960	300mm stabilised	
3	Kumen-Neciam Road	Widening Portion 0 to 0+960 & 0+960 to 1+935	subgrade+100mm GSB+75mr	
3	Kumen-Neciam read		300mm stabilised subgrade+100mm GSB+75mr WMM+40mm BC Existing pipe culvert retained	

	Structures provided	@150m
	Total Cost (in lakhs)	140.3
	Per km Cost of Pavement (in lakhs)	72.9
	Technical committee suggested to avoid the paved shoulder.	
	DECISIONS	
4.1	PMU and consultants have to increase a greater number of test pit so that clear sublayer details will be obtained to design the pavement. No. of test pit /km has to increased to	PD, PMU
4.2	Consultants has to check the slides before presentation. Cross section details and pavement proposal written in executive summary of DPR is different from the presentation. Executive summary has to corrected before technical approval.	PD, PMU
	NEXT MEETING	
	Next Technical Committee meeting will be on 19-05-2021	

1. NIVIN PHILH JA.

2. Da. Vrahm. R. V.e.

Gayal Dr. Jaya

3. B.G. Sreeduri

4. Dr Neethu Roy Stathery

Chief Engineer

Johnson. K. PEN 538757 CHIEF ENGINEER FFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER SGD (LIDAEW) MRUVANANTHAPURAN