34th TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR EVALUATION OF DETAILED PROJECT REPORTS OF PMURKILSGD PROJECTS

	Maating Na: 24	
	Meeting No: 34	Date: 22.10.2022, 11.00am to 1.00pm
	Veni	ue: Online (google meet)
这些别处 在		AGENDA
I	Scrutiny of Detailed Proje	ect Reports (3 nos) prepared by Consultants
		PRESENT
SI No	Name	Designation and Office address
1	Johnson K	Chief Engineer, LID&EW
2	Sri. Vishnukumar G	Project Director, PMU
3	Dr. B.G. Sreedevi	Former Director, NATPAC
4	Dr. Vishnu R	Assistant Professor, NIT, Warangal
5	Dr. Nivin Philip	Professor, Saint Gits College of Engineering
6	Sri. Vishnukumar G	Project Director, PMU
7	Shainy N	Executive Engineer, PMU
8	Sathyanath B	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU
9	Shiju Chandran R	Assistant Executive Engineer, PMU
10	Saravaneshvar	Assistant Executive Engineer
11	Benzilal S D	Assistant Executive Engineer
12	Hazramol M U	Assistant Executive Engineer
13	Binod J	Assistant Engineer
14	Praveen	Assistant Engineer
15	Syam S	Assistant Engineer
16	Rasheed	Assistant Engineer
17	Jeo Antony	Assistant Engineer
18	Riphin K John ngineer, LID&EW started the	Assistant Engineer

The Project Director, Project management Unit described that 3 DPRs are being presented in the meeting. The DPRs are prepared by Consultants. There are two DPRs in Idukki and one DPR in Pathanamthitta. All the three DPRs conatains bridges. The designs are vetted by the Dr. Nivin Phillip, Professor, Saint Gits College of Engineering and Strctural Engineering expert of the Technical Committee. The Chief Engineer expressed displeasure for non participation of other technical committee members and remarked that, in future, maximum number of members shall participate in the technical committee. Also PMU shall ensure that more than one expert, in the relevant subject of discussion, is present during the meeting. Thereafter the representatives of the consultants have started the presentation of DPRs.

	New DPRs prepared h	- Consensants	
1	50th Mile Kallakuttykudi road in Devikulam LAC,Mankulam GP		
	Length of Road	1250 m	
	Reconstruction/Rehabilita suggested	ation New	
da	Nature of Pavement	Rigid	
	Pavement Configuration	300 mm Cement Stabilised Subgrade+ 150 mm CTSB + 100mm PQC M30 short panel concrete	
	Details of RWs	Nil	
100	Details of Culverts	Box Culverts Ch 0+484, 0+763, 1+800,2+109, 2+215 Bridge bowstring type, 2+312, 2+393, 2+480	
	Details of Drains	Irish Drains	
402 -	Total Cost	276 lakhs	
100	Per km cost	220 48 Jakha/km	
2	Comments from Technica Committee	shall be verified by a Govt./aided Engineering College. The Project Director opined that the approval of the DPR including vetting of the designs are the responsibility of the Technical Committee and vetting is already done by the structural expert of the TC. Chief Engineer pointed out that the designs are to be verified by either a government engineering college or by a committee with more than one structural engineering expert. Project Director suggested that the verification of designs can be done by the committee already formulated by Government for scrutiny of the bridge projects taken up by PMU). The committee <i>shall approve</i> the DPR subject to approval of bridge design by the above	
	Mangathotti - Kanakapusha NR city in Udumbanchola LAC, Senapathi GP,		
- Shace	Reconstruction/Rehabilitation	2639 m	
- Anna	suggested	Reconstruction	
	Nature of Pavement	Flexible	
	Pavement Configuration	300mm compacted subgrade+100mm GSB+75mm WMM+50mm DBM+30mm BC	
10	Details of Culverts	40 m	
	Details of Drains	Box Culvert Ch 0+786 Box, 1+495 portal MNB, 2+120 Box, 2+345 Box, 2+457 Box	
	U Uraine	Irish drain	

	Total Cost	718 lakhs
	Por km cost	
	Per km cost Comments from Technical	271.92 lakhs/km The chief Engineer insisted that the design of bridge shall be verified by a Govt./aided Engineering College. The Project Director opined that the approval of the DPR including vetting of the designs are the responsibility of the Technical Committee and vetting is already done by the structural expert of the TC. Chief Engineer pointed out that the designs are to be verified by either a government engineering college or by a committee with more than one structural engineering expert. Project Director suggested that the verification of designs can be done by the committee already formulated by Government for scrutiny of the bridge projects taken
		up by PMU). The committee <i>shall approve</i> the DPR subject to approval of bridge design by the above committee.
3	Thiruvabharanapatha road in I	
	Length of Road	1050 m
المراقعين	Reconstruction/Rehabilitation suggested	New
	Nature of Pavement	Rigid
	Pavement Configuration	300mm compacted subgrade+100mm GSB+75mm WMM+150mm PQC.
	Details of RWs	470 m
	Details of Culverts	Portal MNB Ch 0+252, Box Culvert 0+880
	Details of Drains	Nil
5 19 Kogis.	Total Cost	671 lakhs
	Per km cost	639 lakhs per km The chief Engineer insisted that the design of bridge
	Comments from Technical Committee	The chief Engineer Insisted that the design of analysis shall be verified by a Govt./aided Engineering College. The Project Director opined that the approval of the DPR including vetting of the designs are the responsibility of the Technical Committee and vetting is already done by the structural expert of the TC. Chief Engineer pointed out that the designs are to be verified by either a government engineering college or by a committee with more than one structural engineering expert. Project Director suggested that the verification of designs can be done by the committee already formulated by Government for scrutiny of the bridge projects taken up by PMU). The committee <i>shall approve</i> the DPF subject to approval of bridge design by the abov committee.